

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001

03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (SN)
ECF Case

This document relates to:

Ashton et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN)

Declaration of Andrew J. Maloney, III, in Support of the Ashton Wrongful Death and Certain Personal Injury Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Judgments Against The Taliban and Muhammad Omar

I, ANDREW J. MALONEY, III, Esq., pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby state under penalty of perjury that:

1. I am an attorney representing the plaintiffs in the above-referenced matter (the “*Ashton* Plaintiffs”) and a partner at Kreindler & Kreindler LLP (“Kreindler & Kreindler”) and I submit this declaration in support of the Kreindler *Ashton* motion for final judgment on behalf of the estates of those individuals murdered on September 11, 2001 (“*Ashton* 9/11 Decedents”), and their immediate family members or functional equivalents. This motion seeks the following relief:

- a. awarding the Estates of 9/11 Decedents identified in Exhibits A-1 and A-2, through their personal representatives, an award for conscious pain and suffering and economic damages, which will constitute a final judgment in the amounts set forth on Exhibits A-1 and A-2;
- b. awarding the immediate family members of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents, who are also named as the representatives of those estates, identified in Exhibits B-1 and B-2 an award for solatium damages, which will constitute a final judgment in the amounts set forth on Exhibits B-1 and B-2;
- c. awarding the other immediate family members of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents identified in Exhibits C-1 and C-2 an award for solatium damages, which will constitute a final judgment in the amounts set forth on Exhibits C-1 and C-2;
- d. awarding the Plaintiffs identified on those exhibits pre-judgment interest at the

rate of 4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually for the period from September 11, 2001 until the date of the judgment for damages;

- e. granting the Plaintiffs identified in those exhibits permission to seek punitive damages, economic damages (to the extent not requested in this motion), and other appropriate damages at a later date; and,
- f. granting permission for all other *Ashton* plaintiffs not appearing on those exhibits to submit applications for damages awards in later stages, to the extent such awards have not previously been addressed.

2. The form of this motion and the relief requested herein are intended to comply with the prior orders of this Court. ECF 3433, 3435 (requiring sworn declaration of due diligence safeguards and verification regarding any prior relief).¹

Procedural Background

3. On September 4, 2002, the *Ashton* Plaintiffs filed their first complaint against the alleged sponsors of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, which included claims against defendants al Qaeda Islamic Army, the Taliban and Muhammad Omar, among others. *See* 02-cv-6977 (S.D.N.Y.) ECF 1. That complaint was consolidated and amended several times. *See, e.g.*, 02-cv-6977 (S.D.N.Y.) ECF 2, 11, 32, 38, 111, 465.

4. Kreindler & Kreindler represents some but not all of the plaintiffs set forth on the *Ashton* complaints. I anticipate that counsel for the non-Kreindler & Kreindler & Kreindler plaintiffs on the *Ashton* complaints to shortly move for relief similar to what is sought in this motion.

5. Pursuant to this Court's order, the *Ashton* Plaintiffs served the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants by publication. ECF 445 at 1, 8, 11. Verification of service by publication on,

¹ References to MDL docket entries found at 03-md-1570 (S.D.N.Y.) are noted only by ECF number; references to individual civil case docket entries are preceded by the case number.

among others, the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants, was provided on March 16, 2005 and March 31, 2005, for publication in both English and Arabic, consistent with the service Order. ECF 709, 735.² The *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants never answered and the *Ashton* Plaintiffs thereafter moved for a Certificate of Default and a default judgment, which this Court granted on May 12, 2006. ECF 1782 *et seq.*, 1797 (referring to the defendants listed in Exhibit B to the *Ashton* Plaintiffs motion, including the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants).³ That is, as of May 12, 2006, the *Ashton* Plaintiffs had a liability default judgment against the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants, among others.

6. The *Ashton* Plaintiffs now seek final damages judgments against those defendants.

Damages Due Diligence:

7. The source of my information and the basis for my belief in my statements contained herein is my personal involvement in this matter for 20 years; my firm's representation of the *Ashton* Plaintiffs in connection with this litigation; communications directly from family members of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents listed in Exhibits A-1, A-2, B-1, B-2, C-1 and C-2; communications with counsel for other plaintiffs in the *In re Terrorist Attack on September 11, 2001* multidistrict litigation; and, documents and records contained in my firm's files and other court records relating to the multi-district litigation to which the *Ashton* Plaintiffs are parties.

² The publication notices directed the named defendants to the MDL docket and advised them in both English and Arabic that they were required to answer the complaints on that docket, which sought, among other things, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, within 60 days. ECF 709 at 2; 735 at 2.

³ This Court's default liability judgment was issued on May 12, 2006 and stated that it applied to all claims, plaintiffs and defendants included up to and through the Sixth Amended Complaint. ECF 1797.

Any matters about which I lack personal knowledge are asserted herein upon information and belief.

8. All of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents listed in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 died in the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks. My law firm has been retained by the representatives of the estates of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents listed in Exhibits A-1 and A-2 to represent those estates.

9. For the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents listed in Exhibit A-1, we have confirmed that the decedent was a United States national as of September 11, 2001, either based on documentary evidence (such as copies of birth certificates, passports and / or verified applications to the September 11, 2001 Victim Compensation Fund (“VCF”)) or personal interviews and / or written communications with the representatives of the Estates of those 9/11 Decedents and / or the family members of those 9/11 Decedents. The 9/11 Decedents listed in Exhibit A-2 are either non-U.S. citizens killed on September 11, 2001, or decedents who we have been unable to verify their citizenship status at the time of death.

10. Exhibits A-1 and A-2 sets forth the compensatory damages amount this Court previously awarded to the Estates of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents for conscious pain and suffering in connection with claims asserted against defendant the Islamic Republic of Iran (“Iran”).

11. Exhibits A-1 and A-2 also set forth claims by the Personal Representatives of the estates of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents seeking an award of economic damages in the amounts listed therein. For those estates seeking economic damages, such amounts are based upon reports prepared by an economic expert who, relying on materials provided by plaintiffs (economic expert reports, VCF applications, VCF work-papers and distribution plans, VCF determinations, underlying economic documents, VCF valuation files, tax submissions and other earnings information), applied generally accepted methodologies and assumptions and calculated

the present value of economic losses to a reasonable degree of accounting and actuarial certainty. The underlying materials included economic expert reports, VCF files and other documentary evidence and include damages calculations that, when calculated soon after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, have now been brought up to present value, as explained in connection with our prior motion for economic damages on behalf of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents, and are the same amount that this Court granted following prior motions for economic damages in connection with claims asserted against Iran.

12. For some of the estates listed in Exhibits A-1 and A-2, we do not yet have economic loss data and will move for economic damages when we have the underling financial information. For example, in cases in which Kreindler & Kreindler did not represent the estate of the 9/11 Decedent in the VCF, we seek to obtain VCF files from the Department of Justice via a Freedom of Information Act (“FOIA”) request.⁴ We routinely ask the representatives of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents’ estates to provide Kreindler & Kreindler with an authorization to obtain the relevant files from the VCF. After we receive the authorization, we make a formal FOIA demand for a copy of that file. Due to backlogs at the Department of Justice, which administered the VCF, and new procedures in redacting certain information in the VCF files, we have experienced substantial delays in obtaining VCF files. We expect to have additional VCF files, and thus additional updated economic loss data, in the future and intend at that time to seek economic damages for the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedent estates not currently making such a motion.

⁴ The Department of Justice requires each FOIA request to be accompanied by a Certification of Identity executed by the representative of the estate specifically authorizing counsel to obtain the VCF files, even if counsel provides a retainer agreement with the FOIA demand.

13. This Court previously awarded solatium damages to immediate family members (and their functional equivalents) to the individuals listed in Exhibits B-1, B-2, C-1 and C-2 in the following amounts:

Relationship to Decedent	Solatium Award
Spouse	\$12,500,000
Parent	\$8,500,000
Child	\$8,500,000
Sibling	\$4,250,000

14. The *Ashton* Plaintiffs listed in Exhibits B-1 and B-2 are both representatives of the estates of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents named in the *Ashton* complaints underlying the default judgment against the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants, which asserted claims for all survivors of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents and expressly identified solatium damages, and are also immediate family members (or the functional equivalents) of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents named in those complaints. *See, e.g.*, 02-cv-6977 (S.D.N.Y.), ECF 485 at ¶ 482 (seeking, among other things, solatium damages). These individuals have been added by name via Notice of Amendment by the process this Court established concerning the claims against Iran and Sudan. We have confirmed the relationship between the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedent and the individuals listed in Exhibits B-1 and B-2 through documentary evidence and / or interviews with and written confirmation from our clients.

15. The *Ashton* Plaintiffs listed in Exhibits C-1 and C-2 are immediate family members (or the functional equivalents) of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents named in the *Ashton* complaints underlying the default judgment against the *Taliban* and *Omar* Defendants, which

asserted claims for all survivors of the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedents and expressly identified solatium damages, but are not set forth by name individually in those complaints. *See id.* These individuals have been added by name via Notice of Amendment by the process this Court established concerning the claims against Iran and Sudan. We have confirmed the relationship between the *Ashton* 9/11 Decedent and the individuals listed in Exhibits C-1 and C-2 through documentary evidence and / or interviews with and written confirmation from our clients

16. The *Ashton* Plaintiffs listed on the exhibits to this declaration have received final judgments against Iran but have received no final judgment against either the *Taliban* nor *Omar* Defendants nor have they collected anything close to the full amounts of their judgments against Iran.

17. In order to allow them to attempt to satisfy any portion of the outstanding judgment amounts against the *Taliban* or *Omar* Defendants, the *Ashton* Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court issue the same damage awards for these Plaintiffs that the Court ordered in the final judgments against Iran and as is reflected in Exhibits A-1, A-2, B-1, B-2, C-1 and C-2.

18. For any *Ashton* Plaintiffs not set forth in the attached exhibits, including those represented by other than *Ashton* counsel but consolidated on the *Ashton* complaint, and for any damages not included herein, we expect additional claims to be asserted.

19. For all of the reasons set forth in this declaration and the *Ashton* Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Judgments against the Taliban and Omar, I respectfully request that this Court grant the proposed order filed herewith.

Dated: December 20, 2021
New York, NY

/s/ Andrew J. Maloney, III
Andrew J. Maloney, III